United States District Court

for Middle District of Tennessee

Superseding Petition for Summons for Offender Under Supervision

[Supersedes Petition Filed as Docket Entry No. 60]

Name of Offender: <u>Brandon J. Howard</u> Case 1	Number: <u>3:06-00070-01</u>
Name of Judicial Officer: <u>Honorable John T. Nixon, So</u>	enior United States District Judge
Date of Original Sentence: March 16, 2007	
Original Offense: 18 U.S.C. § 922 (g) Felon in Possess	ion of a Firearm
Original Sentence: 72 months' custody and 3 years' su	pervised release
Type of Supervision: <u>Supervised Release</u> Date S	Supervision Commenced: August 21, 2012
Assistant U.S. Attorney: <u>Alex Little</u> Defen	se Attorney: Caryll Alpert
To issue a Summons. To issue a Warrant. X To Consider Additional Violations	
THE COURT ORDERS: ☐ No Action ☐ The Issuance of a Warrant: ☐ Sealed Pending Warrant Execution (cc: U.S. Probation and U.S. Marshal only) ☐ The Issuance of a Summons ☐ The Consideration of Additional Alleged Violation ☐ Other	ns/Information
Considered this 17 day of May, 201 and made a part of the records in the above case. John T. Nixon Senior U. S. District Judge	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Respectfully submitted, 3, Joshua Smith U. S. Probation Officer Place Nashville, TN Date May 14, 2013

ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

The information provided in the previous petition, filed as docket entry No. 60, has been amended as follows:

Violation No. 1 - has been amended to include additional positive drug tests

Violation No. 6 - has been amended to include new employment information

The probation officer believes that the offender has violated the following condition(s) of supervision:

Violation No. Nature of Noncompliance

1. The defendant shall refrain from unlawful use of a controlled substance.

Mr. Howard has tested positive for illegal drugs on seventeen occasions.

September 4, 2012	January 2, 2013	February 8, 2013	April 17, 2013
September 14, 2012	January 17, 2013	February 13, 2013	May 6, 2013
September 20, 2012	January 28, 2013	February 19, 2013	
November 26, 2012	January 30, 2013	February 26, 2013	
December 14, 2012	February 6, 2013	March 11, 2013	

He tested positive for cocaine on eleven occasions and tested positive for marijuana on twelve occasions. Mr. Howard admitted on January 7, 2013, that he has regularly been using illegal drugs since he was released to supervision. He has denied new use of illegal drugs since January 31, 2013, though he has continued to test positive. Mr. Howard last tested positive for cocaine on May 6, 2013, and last tested positive for marijuana on February 19, 2013. Since his first positive drug test on September 4, 2012, he has tested negative on fourteen occasions.

2. The defendant shall participate in a program of drug testing and substance abuse treatment which may include a 30-day inpatient treatment program followed by up to 90 days in a residential reentry center at the direction of the U.S. Probation Office.

Mr. Howard failed to report for drug testing on eight occasions.

August 27, 2012	January 14, 2013	January 23, 2013
January 9, 2013	January 16, 2013	January 25, 2013
January 11 2013	January 21 2013	

Mr. Howard has missed drug treatment on November 14 and December 27, 2012. He stated that he missed treatment on November 14, 2012, due to getting a flat tire on his vehicle.

3. The defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony, unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer.

Mr. Howard admitted on November 16, 2012, that he had been driving to treatment with another offender on supervised release, William Martin. William Martin has been convicted of Felon in Possession of a Firearm. Mr. Howard was not given permission to associate with Mr. Martin.

4. The defendant shall not commit another federal, state or local crime.

Mr. Howard admitted on October 18, 2012, that he did not have insurance for his automobile. He was instructed to obtain insurance and provide proof of the insurance within the next month. Mr. Howard failed to obtain insurance and provide proof of insurance as instructed. He was advised on multiple occasions that driving without insurance is a violation of the law. He stated that he could not afford car insurance, though pays \$400 per month for a car payment. Mr. Howard finally obtained car insurance and showed proof of in February 2013.

On January 8, 2013, Mr. Howard was charged with Driving on a Suspended License. On January 14, 2013, Mr. Howard was charged with Driving on a Suspended License, 2nd Offense. He obtained his license and the charges were dismissed on February 1, 2013.

5. The defendant shall not operate a motor vehicle without a valid driver's license.

Mr. Howard operated a motor vehicle without a valid driver's license as evidenced citations on two occasions for Driving on a Suspended License, on January 8 and January 14, 2013.

6. The defendant shall maintain verifiable employment subject to the approval of the United States Probation Officer and provide any documentation requested by the Probation Office.

Mr. Howard was fired from his employment with Southern Steak and Oyster in January 2013. He stated that he has been working with M-W Landscaping company since his termination from Southern Steak and Oyster until May 2013 but failed to provide proof of employment until March 2013. Since May 2013, he has been working for Swift Staffing Agency. He is operating a forklift at the Nissan plant.

Compliance with Supervision Conditions and Prior Interventions:

Mr. Howard began supervised release on August 21, 2012. He is scheduled to terminate supervision on August 20, 2015.

A report was submitted to the Court on September 24, 2012, to inform that Mr. Howard tested positive for illegal drugs on three occasions and failed to report for drug testing on one occasion. He was referred for substance abuse treatment on September 20, 2012. He began treatment on October 16, 2012.

A report was submitted to the Court on December 11, 2012, to inform that Mr. Howard tested positive for illegal drugs on another occasion, missed drug treatment and had been associating with a convicted felon without permission. He was warned that further drug use could result in revocation of his supervised release.

Following his positive drug test on November 26, 2012, Mr. Howard was offered increased substance abuse treatment but refused the increase, as it would interfere with his work schedule.

A petition for a summons was submitted to the Court on January 4, 2013. A summons was ordered by Your Honor on February 9, 2013. Mr. Howard reported to the probation office on January 7, 2013, and was instructed to pursue inpatient substance abuse treatment at Elam. He was admitted into the program on February 1, 2013, and stepped down to Intensive Outpatient Treatment Program (IOP) on February 6, 2013. The IOP program consisted of an 8-week course of treatment where he attended Mondays through Thursdays

from 9:00 a.m.-12:00 p.m. A revocation hearing was held before Your Honor on March 22, 2013. The hearing was continued for three months. Mr. Howard began receiving mental health medication following a psychiatric medication appointment on March 27, 2013. He successfully completed the IOP program on April 25, 2013. He has not yet begun the aftercare program with Elam. Mr. Howard has returned to using cocaine despite the efforts of the probation office and the various treatment programs in which he has participated.

Update of Offender Characteristics:

Mr. Howard has been working for Swift Staffing since May 2013.

U.S. Probation Officer Recommendation:

It is respectfully requested that the additional violations be considered at the Revocation Hearing scheduled before Your Honor on July 10, 2013. Based on Mr. Howard's return to the use of illegal drugs and his current operation of heavy equipment as part of his employment, an earlier revocation hearing might be warranted. This matter has been reported to the U.S. Attorney's Office and they concur with the recommendation.

Approved:

Britton Shelton

Supervisory U. S. Probation Officer

SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE UNITED STATES V. BRANDON J. HOWARD, CASE NO. 3:06-00070-01

GRADE OF VIOLATION:

 \mathbf{C}

CRIMINAL HISTORY:

VI

ORIGINAL OFFENSE DATE:

POST APRIL 3, 2003

VCCA PROVISIONS

Statutory

Guideline

Recommended

Provisions

Provisions

Sentence

2 years (Class C Felony)

8-14 months

No recommendation

18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3)

U.S.S.G. § 7B1.4(a)

SUPERVISED

3 years less any term

1-3 years

No recommendation

RELEASE:

CUSTODY:

of imprisonment

 $U.S.S.G. \ \S \ 5D1.2(a)(2)$

18 U.S.C. § 3583(h)

Revocation is mandatory if the defendant, as a part of drug testing, tests positive for illegal controlled substances more than 3 times over the course of 1 year. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(g)(4). The court shall consider whether the availability of appropriate substance abuse treatment programs, or an individual's current or past participation in such programs, warrants an exception in accordance with United States Sentencing Commission guidelines from the rule section 3583 (g) when considering any action against a defendant who fails a drug test. 18 U.S.C. § 3583 (d).

Guideline Policy Statements: Upon finding of a Grade C violation, the Court may (A) revoke probation or supervised release; or (B) extend the term of probation or supervised release and/or modify the conditions of supervision.

Respectfully Submitted

Joshna Smith

U.S. Probation Officer

Approved:

Britton Shelton

Supervisory U. S. Probation Officer

VIOLATION WORKSHEET

1.	Defendant Brandon J Howard	
2.	Docket Number (Year-Sequence-Defendant No.)	
3.	District/Office Middle Tennessee	
4.	Original Sentence Date / / / month day year	
5.	Original District/Office (if different than above)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6.	Original Docket Number (Year-Sequence-Defendant No.)	
7.	List each violation and determine the applicable grade (see §7B1.1):	
	Violation(s) positive drug tests	<u>Grade</u> C
	failure to report for drug testing	С
	association with a convicted felon	С
	new crime	С
	operating a motor vehicle without a valid driver's license	C
	failing to provide employment documentation	С
8.	Most Serious Grade of Violation (see §7B1.1(b))	С
9.	Criminal History Category (see §7B1.4(a))	VI
10.	Range of Imprisonment (see §7B1.4(a))	4 months
11.	Sentencing Options for Grade B and C Violations Only (Check the appropriate b	ox):
	(a) If the minimum term of imprisonment determined under §7B1.4 (Term of Imprisis at least one month but not more than six months, §7B1.3(c)(1) provides sentencing to imprisonment.	
\boxtimes	(b) If the minimum term of imprisonment determined under §7B1.4 (Term of Imprisis is more than six months but not more than ten months, §7B1.3(c)(2) provides sentent options to imprisonment.	
	(c) If the minimum term of imprisonment determined under §7B1.4 (Term of Imprisonment ten months, no sentencing ontions to imprisonment are available.	onment)

15.

Unsatisfied Conditions of Original Sent	tence			4		
List any restitution, fine, community confinement previously imposed in conne ordered that remains unpaid or unserved a	ection with the	sentence for	which	revoca	tion is	
Restitution(\$)	Commu	unity Confine	ement_			4,1
Fine(\$)	Home I	Detention	_			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other	Intermi	ttent Confine	ement _	-		
Supervised Release						
If probation is to be revoked, determine t according to the provisions of §§5D1.1-1.			m of su	ıpervise	ed relea	ase
. 1	3					
Term: <u>1</u>	to 5	years				
If supervised release is revoked and the temaximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recommend to the supervisor of the	le upon revoca	ation, the def ervised relea	endant	may, to	the	
maximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recimprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and Period of supervised release to be served	ele upon revoca commence sup- id §7B1.3(g)(2	ation, the defervised releation)).	endant	may, to	the	
maximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recomprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and Period of supervised release to be served imprisonment:	ele upon revoca commence sup- id §7B1.3(g)(2	ation, the defervised releation)).	endant	may, to	the	
maximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recimprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and Period of supervised release to be served imprisonment: Departure	te upon revocationmence sup- d §7B1.3(g)(2) following release	ation, the defervised release)). ase from	endant	may, to	the	
maximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recomprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and Period of supervised release to be served imprisonment:	the upon revocationmence sup- id §7B1.3(g)(2 following release	ation, the defervised release)). ase from	endant	may, to	the	
maximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recommend imprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and Period of supervised release to be served imprisonment: Departure List aggravating and mitigating factors that	the upon revocationmence sup- id §7B1.3(g)(2 following release	ation, the defervised release)). ase from	endant	may, to	the	
maximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recommend imprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and Period of supervised release to be served imprisonment: Departure List aggravating and mitigating factors that	the upon revocationmence sup- id §7B1.3(g)(2 following release	ation, the defervised release)). ase from	endant	may, to	the	
maximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recommend imprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and Period of supervised release to be served imprisonment: Departure List aggravating and mitigating factors that	the upon revocationmence sup- id §7B1.3(g)(2 following release	ation, the defervised release)). ase from	endant	may, to	the	
maximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recommend imprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and Period of supervised release to be served imprisonment: Departure List aggravating and mitigating factors that	the upon revocationmence sup- id §7B1.3(g)(2 following release	ation, the defervised release)). ase from	endant	may, to	the	
maximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recommend imprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and Period of supervised release to be served imprisonment: Departure List aggravating and mitigating factors that	the upon revocationmence sup- id §7B1.3(g)(2 following release	ation, the defervised release)). ase from	endant	may, to	the	
maximum term of imprisonment imposable extent permitted by law, be ordered to recommend imprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and Period of supervised release to be served imprisonment: Departure List aggravating and mitigating factors that	the upon revocationmence sup- id §7B1.3(g)(2 following release	ation, the defervised release)). ase from	endant	may, to	the	

Official Detention Adjustment (see §7B1.3(e)): _____ months